

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/497,123	02/03/2000		Dominique D. Messerli	8932-114	7121
20582	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER	
JONES DAY				PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
51 Louisiana Aveue, N.W WASHINGTON, DC 20001-2113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ا لما					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/497,123	MESSERLI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian E Pellegrino	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/	30/04 .						
,	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	, -						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,9,14,15,19,25,26,28-41,43-62 and 64</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 30,31,33,34,37,38,49,50,52,53,56 and 57 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40,41,43-48,51,54,55 and 58</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,9,14,15,19,25,26,28,32,35,36</u>	.39 and 59-62 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2.29 and 64 is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dor	/						
Attachment(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note 	19) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,9,14,15,25,26,28,32,35,36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reitzel (4497419). Fig. 1 shows an end member 10 with a first portion 16 having a top, flat planar surface 24 and a perimeter edge 34 with first and second offset channels 26 extending therefrom. Fig. 2 shows a second portion 20 and also a shoulder 38 that joins the first and second portions. Additionally there is a plurality of resilient tabs 78, 80 on the second portion that flex inward upon insertion into the receiving portion shown in Fig. 3. Please note that the intended use, as set forth in the claims, carries no weight in the absence of any distinguishing structure. Clearly, this device is capable of being used with an implant and having the top surface adapted and configured to engage bone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7,19,39,59-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitzel '419. Reitzel is explained supra. However, Reitzel fails to disclose the top

Art Unit: 3738

surface as being oval or the material for the end member is made of metal or to use four

tabs. Note that Reitzel does disclose end members can be made of metal, col. 1, lines 13,14. Regarding claim 7, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the shape of the end member, since applicant has not disclosed that using an oval shape provides any advantage, or solves a stated problem, or is used for any particular purpose. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the rounded end member as taught by Reitzel or the claimed oval shape in claim(s) 7 because both end members perform the same function of providing top surface engaging members. Regarding claim 19, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute metal as mentioned by Reitzel for the end member in order to provide a more rigid end member. With respect to claims 39,59 it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to

modify the number of tabs used, since applicant has not disclosed that using four tabs provides any advantage, or solves a stated problem, or is used for any particular purpose. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the number of tabs or arms taught by Reitzel or the claimed four tabs in claim(s) 39,58 because both end members with tabs perform the same function of being secured in the receiving device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,59 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,123 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2,29,64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 40,41,43-48,51,54,55,58 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian E. Pellegrino whose telephone number is (703)

306-5899. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday between 9:00

A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9306 for regular communications.

Brom & Pellegrino

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

Brian E. Pellegrino

Primary Examiner

TC 3700, AU 3738